

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/080,492	02/22/2002	Jan-Olof Joel Wesstrom	980.1373US01	8498
38846	7590 01/09/2004		EXAM	INER
CARLSON, CASPERS, VANDENBURGH & LINDQUIST			NGUYEN, DUNG T	
225 SO. 6TH SUITE 3200	STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MPIS, MN			2828	
			DATE MAILED: 01/09/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

yw
N-OLOF JOEL
LOWANCE. eply to a lication in for Continued
ever is later. In no
See MPEP
ate extension fee extension fee under or (2) as set forth in d, may reduce any
);
simplifying the

		_ Ն	W
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/080,492 WESSTROM, JAN-OLOF JOE)EL
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dung (Michael) T Nguyen	2828	
The MAILING DATE of this communica	ation appears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 08 November 2003 FAILS Therefore, further action by the applicant is requ			Ε.

b) [The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the r ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MON 706.07(f).	mailing date of the final rejection.				
have be 37 CFF (b) abo	ktensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under the filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding R 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply or ve, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under iginally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed of 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid					
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a	a) \square they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/o	or search (see NOTE below);				
(b	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for application in better for application in better for application in better for application for application in better for application in better for application for application in better for application in better for application in better for application for application in better for application for ap	eal by materially reducing or simplifying the				
(d	I) \square they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding r	number of finally rejected claims.				
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submacanceling the non-allowable claim(s).	itted in a separate, timely filed amendment				
5.	☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	d SOLELY to issues which were newly				
7.⊠	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	0 . 0				
	Claim(s) allowed:	Paul Do				
	Claim(s) objected to:	1710=				
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER				
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800				
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examiner.				
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper	per No(s)				
10.[Other:					
-						

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/080,492

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: In the Sahlen 5416866 reference, Fig. 4 clearly shows a tunable waveguide 6', an amplifying material 5' disposed in a parallel relationship beside the tunable waveguide 6', and charge carriers injected into the tunable material and the amplifying material. Therefore, claims 1-20 cannot be patentable over the Sahlen reference.